

# Amy Coney Barrett's Ethical Failure: A Judicial Breach Condemned by Her Own Actions

*By Anonymous Patriots, May 19, 2025*



**A**ssociate Justice Amy Coney Barrett has gravely undermined the integrity of the U.S. Supreme Court through her own conduct, violating the ethical standards she vowed to uphold.

Her rulings in favor of Google, Facebook, Twitter, and Axon Enterprises between 2021 and 2023 directly benefited her investment portfolio, as detailed in her 2023 Financial Disclosure Report.

With up to **\$5.73 million holdings in Vanguard, Fidelity, BlackRock, Goldman Sachs, Invesco, T. Rowe Price, JPMorgan, and Prudential**, Barrett's decisions enhanced the value of these firms' significant stakes in the litigants she favored, breaching her duty to avoid conflicts of interest.

Her actions, documented in public judicial and financial records, constitute a fraud on the Court, necessitating her impeachment, the reversal of her tainted rulings, and personal liability for the harm caused.

In this case, the upside-down flag above signals danger, distress, and national emergency.

## The Code of Conduct: An Oath Violated

Upon joining the Supreme Court, justices pledge to adhere to the [Code of Conduct for United States Judges](#), a framework to ensure impartiality and public trust. The four canons, as published by the U.S. Courts, are:

### Code of Conduct for United States Judges

- Canon 1 A judge should uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary
- Canon 2 A judge should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities.
- Canon 3 A judge should perform the duties of the office fairly, impartially, and diligently.
- Canon 4 A judge may engage in extrajudicial activities that are consistent with the obligations of judicial office.

Canon 2 requires judges to “**avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety,**” mandating recusal from cases involving any financial interest, no matter how small, in a litigant.

Barrett's failure to recuse from cases where her investment firms held substantial interests violates this canon, as her own financial disclosures and SEC records demonstrate.

## Barrett's Financial Conflicts: Evidence of Misconduct

Barrett's [2023 Financial Disclosure Report, filed May 9, 2024](#), details her investments in mutual funds and ETFs managed by Vanguard, Fidelity, BlackRock, Goldman Sachs, Invesco, T. Rowe Price, JPMorgan, and Prudential. These include the Fidelity 500 Index Fund, Vanguard Target Retirement 2040, BlackRock Strategic Municipal Opportunities Fund, Goldman Sachs High Yield Municipal Fund, Invesco Oppenheimer Developing Markets Fund, T. Rowe Price Blue Chip Growth, JPMorgan Hedged Equity Fund, and Prudential Global Real Estate Fund. Spanning brokerage accounts, IRAs, 403(b), 401(k), and 529 plans, she values her portfolio at up to \$5.73 million.

These firms are major shareholders in the litigants Barrett favored, as SEC records confirm:

1. **Google LLC v. Oracle America, Inc., 141 S. Ct. 1183 (2021)**: Barrett joined the majority, ruling for Google (Alphabet Inc.). Her firms—Vanguard (~8.2%), BlackRock (~6.8%), Fidelity (~2.5%), T. Rowe Price (~1.2%)—hold ~18.7% of Alphabet's shares.
2. **Facebook, Inc. v. Duguid, 141 S. Ct. 1163 (2021)**: Barrett supported Facebook (Meta Platforms). Her firms—Vanguard (~8.1%), BlackRock (~6.7%), Fidelity (~4.5%), T. Rowe Price (~1.5%)—own ~20.8% of Meta's shares.
3. **Twitter, Inc. v. Taamneh, 598 U.S. 471 (2023)**: Barrett backed Twitter, then publicly traded. Pre-acquisition (2022), her firms held ~21.8% of Twitter's shares, with Vanguard (~10.2%), BlackRock (~7.8%), and Fidelity (~3.8%).
4. **Axon Enterprise, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission, 598 U.S. 175 (2023)**: Barrett ruled for Axon. Her firms—BlackRock (~10.8%), Vanguard (~9.5%), Fidelity (~3.9%)—control ~24.2% of Axon's shares.

Company Invested In	Amy C. Barrett Decision	Full Case Name and Citation Number	Amy C. Barrett's Investment's Cumulative Holding	Breakdown of Cumulative Holdings by Companies In Which Associate Justice Amy C. Barrett has a Financial Investment
Alphabet Inc. (Google LLC)	For the Plaintiff	Google LLC v. Oracle America, Inc., 141 S. Ct. 1183 (2021)	18.7	Vanguard: ~8.2%, BlackRock: ~6.8%, Fidelity: ~2.5%, T. Rowe Price: ~1.2%, Goldman Sachs: <1%, Invesco: <1%, JPMorgan: <1%, Prudential: <1%
Meta Platforms, Inc. (Facebook, Inc.)	For the Plaintiff	Facebook, Inc. v. Duguid, 141 S. Ct. 1163 (2021)	20.8	Vanguard: ~8.1%, BlackRock: ~6.7%, Fidelity: ~4.5%, T. Rowe Price: ~1.5%, Goldman Sachs: <1%, Invesco: <1%, JPMorgan: <1%, Prudential: <1%
Twitter, Inc. (Pre-2022)	For the Plaintiff	Twitter, Inc. v. Taamneh, 598 U.S. 471 (2023)	21.8	Vanguard: ~10.2%, BlackRock: ~7.8%, Fidelity: ~3.8%, Goldman Sachs: <1%, Invesco: <1%, T. Rowe Price: <1%, JPMorgan: <1%, Prudential: <1%
Axon Enterprise, Inc.	For the Plaintiff	Axon Enterprise, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission, 598 U.S. 175 (2023)	24.2	BlackRock: ~10.8%, Vanguard: ~9.5%, Fidelity: ~3.9%, Goldman Sachs: <1%, Invesco: <1%, T. Rowe Price: <1%, JPMorgan: <1%, Prudential: <1%

Funds like the Fidelity 500 Index and Vanguard Total Stock Market Index, which Barrett holds, include significant allocations to Alphabet, Meta, and Axon, suggesting her rulings increased their value, benefiting her portfolio.

Her failure to recuse, despite these interlocked financial ties, violates her ethical obligations and her Senate Questionnaire pledge to adhere to the *Code of Conduct* and 28 U.S.C. § 455.

## A Broken Pledge: Barrett's Senate Commitment

In her [2020 Senate Questionnaire, filed Sep. 29, 2020](#), Barrett vowed to maintain ethical standards, stating she would resolve conflicts by following the [Code of Conduct for United States Judges](#), the Ethics Reform Act (28 U.S.C. § 455), and guidance from judicial ethics officials.

She committed to using a recusal list to avoid conflicts, including cases involving family members, Notre Dame University, or her prior judicial rulings. Yet, her participation in cases benefiting her investment firms—Vanguard, Fidelity, BlackRock, and others—directly contradicts this pledge, as her financial interests were not disclosed or addressed through recusal.

## A Network of Financial Influence

Barrett's investments align with those of numerous judges and politicians, who hold stakes in Vanguard, BlackRock, and similar firms dominating global markets. BlackRock and Vanguard, major shareholders in each other, form a concentrated web of financial power. The fact that a public official holds these investments is not the issue. The issue is when judges hold these interlocked holdings, must they recuse in those case. The reasonable answer is ABSOLUTELY!

Barrett's rulings, benefiting these firms' holdings in Alphabet, Meta, Twitter, and Axon, have bolstered this network, raising concerns about impartiality. Her failure to recuse, as required by Canon 2, undermines public trust in the judiciary.

## The Safe Harbor "Concept": A Barrier to Accountability

The [Safe Harbor](#) concept is horribly flawed and self-serving. It's not a law, regulation, or rule. [On Aug. 25, 2000](#), the Office of Government Ethics labeled it a mere "memorandum." [On Mar. 14, 2001](#), the Judicial Conference, without Congressional approval, wrote a new policy: "Ownership in a mutual or common investment fund that holds securities is not a 'financial interest' in such securities unless the employee manages the fund." Under this absurd policy, Amy Coney Barrett's mutual fund investments—worth up to \$5.73 million—are deemed not a financial interest?! This, despite the fact that the underlying big box stocks are the sole source of her investment's value. Such a practice is ethically indefensible.

One legal insider told one of this post's authors at the time that "judges are underpaid" as the moral justification for this financial disclosure boondoggle.

## A Call for Accountability

Barrett's actions, documented in her [2023 Financial Disclosure](#) and SEC records, violate [Canon 2](#) and constitute fraud on the Court. The following measures are essential:

1. **Impeachment of Amy Coney Barrett:** Her failure to recuse, despite financial conflicts, warrants impeachment for breaching her ethical duties and public trust.
2. **Impeachment of Chief Justice John Roberts:** Roberts' failure to enforce ethical compliance, including oversight of Barrett and circuit judges like James E. Boasberg, demands his impeachment.
3. **Reversal of Tainted Rulings:** At minimum, the cases—*Google v. Oracle*, *Facebook v. Duguid*, *Twitter v. Taamneh*, and *Axon v. FTC*—must be vacated due to Barrett's conflicts, ensuring fair adjudication, although the irony is that Barrett's investment are major shareholders in the losers as well!
4. **Treble Damages:** Barrett and Roberts should personally pay treble damages to Oracle, Duguid, Taamneh, and the FTC, compensating for losses caused by her biased rulings. Ironically, these litigation losers are also dominated by the same controlling shareholders that Barrett has holding in. **This means that she and Roberts' conflicts are so interlocked that they cannot lose whichever way they rule!**

5. **Abolition of "Safe Harbor"**: Congress must eliminate the Safe Harbor concept, requiring full disclosure of all judicial investments, including mutual fund holdings, to restore transparency.

## A Judiciary Compromised By Imperial Control

Amy Coney Barrett's conduct, as evidenced by her own financial disclosures and judicial actions, condemns her. Her rulings have enriched her investment portfolio at the expense of justice, violating the ethical standards she pledged to uphold.

The Supreme Court's integrity demands accountability. Impeach Barrett and Roberts, reverse their compromised rulings, and hold them liable for the harm inflicted. Eliminate the Safe Harbor to ensure transparency. The judiciary's credibility depends on it.

This essay is limited to Barrett's undisclosed financial conflicts of interest. There are other rabbit holes including her interlocks with Oxford University and Rhodes College in Memphis, TN, not to mention the 37 Rhodes Scholars from Rhodes College sent to the UK.

One of Barrett's investments, JP Morgan, saw its founder J.P. Morgan as a co-founder the British Pilgrims Society in 1902, along with Lord Walter Rothschild, Andrew Carnegie, and John D. Rockefeller, among many, including Nicholas Murray Butler, who was president of both Columbia University and the Pilgrims Society from 1902-1945. Butler recruited J. Edgar Hoover to start the FBI, and David Sarnoff to found RCA (Marconi Wireless, Aegis) and NBC, among many others.

Barret's misconduct is a telling sign that points 2 and 3 of the **Pilgrims' 24-Point Strategy**, revealed first by journalist [Lillian Scott Troy](#), called for the Pilgrims to control the U.S. Supreme Court and make it pro-British in order to annex American back into the British Empire.

***Amy, what would Lillian Scott Troy say about your conduct?***

Note: Chief Justice John Roberts is a Knight of Malta, English Priory.



**Lillian Scot Troy (Feb. 17, 24, 1912) Discover the [Pilgrims Society' 24-point strategy to "annex America"](#) ca. 1908. She was dissuaded from publishing it then by newspaperman W. T. Stead, a founding Pilgrims Society strategist, then published it anyway in 1912, just months before Stead drowned on the Titanic—a voyage owner **J.P. Morgan disembarked the night before**.**

1. Power of the President of the United States to be increased so as to gradually diminish the powers of Congress.
2. Supreme Court of the United States to be revised so as to embrace only judges agreeable to absorption by Great Britain, and uniformly hostile to the United States Senate.
3. Precedents must be established by said Court against the United States Senate in rulings, decisions, etc., (specially prepared). . .



Figure 1: Awards Council members Justice Anthony Kennedy and Justice Brett Kavanaugh present the Golden Plate Award to Justice Amy Coney Barrett at the 2022 Banquet of the Golden Plate awards ceremonies in Washington, D.C.



Figure 2: Academy Council member **Lord Jacob Rothschild** presents the Golden Plate Award to British architect **Lord Norman Foster**.



Figure 3: Catherine B. Reynolds with Academy members Lord Jacob Rothschild, General David H. Petraeus, USA, and Ehud Barak in the White Drawing Room at Waddesdon Manor. Lord Jacob Rothschild, a distinguished philanthropist and arts patron, hosted Academy members and spouses to an intimate luncheon in the White Drawing Room.



Figure 1: Meghan Keneally. (July 3, 2012). After joking about heading to Malta to escape criticism....Chief Justice Roberts heads to Malta as it emerges that he may have written for AND against opinions on Obamacare. The Daily Mail. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2168451/Chief-Justice-Roberts-heads-Malta-emerges-written-AND-opinions-Obamacare.html>

Figure 4: John Roberts recused himself over this Knights of Malta, English Priory photo in *Arunachalem v. Lyft*.

Figure 5: Queen Elizabeth in her Knights of Malta, English Priory regalia.





President Donald Trump stunningly accepted an offer from King Charles that would bring the United States closer to the United Kingdom than it has ever been since the American Revolution. 'I Love King Charles,' Trump says in response.

Figure 6: —Richard Eden. (Mar. 21, 2025). Trump will ACCEPT King Charles' stunning 'secret' offer to make America part of the United Kingdom. *Daily Mail*.

UK COMES OUT THE CLOSET ON THE PILGRIMS SOCIETY'S 120-YEAR PLAN TO ANNEX AMERICA, Mar. 21, 2025. *Daily Mail (UK)*